Despite the fact that many people believe in equality of opportunity, many also overlook the structural factors that shape social inequalities in the United States and around the world, such as systematic exclusion (e.g., educational, occupational) based on group membership (e.g., gender, race, socioeconomic status). As a result, social inequalities persist and place marginalized social groups at elevated risk for negative emotional, learning, and health outcomes. Where do the beliefs and behaviors that underlie social inequalities originate? Recent evidence from developmental science indicates that an awareness of social inequalities begins in childhood and that children seek to explain the underlying causes of the disparities that they observe and experience. Moreover, children and adolescents show early capacities for understanding and rectifying inequalities when regulating access to resources in peer contexts. Drawing on a social reasoning developmental framework, we synthesize what is currently known about children’s and adolescents’ awareness, beliefs, and behavior concerning social inequalities and highlight promising avenues by which developmental science can help reduce harmful assumptions and foster a more just society.
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Despite the fact that many people believe in equality of opportunity, many also overlook the structural factors that shape social and economic disparities in the United States and around the world. These structural factors include, for example, historical and current exclusion from residential, educational, and occupational opportunities on the basis of gender, race, socioeconomic status (SES), or other group memberships (Bullock, 2019; Kraus, Onyeador, Daumeyer, Rucker, & Richeson, 2019). As a result, excluded social groups continue to have fewer opportunities for upward mobility and experience elevated risk for negative emotional, learning, and health outcomes (Duncan & Murnane, 2011; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2017). Psychological science plays a crucial role in illuminating the processes that underlie people’s responses to social inequality. For example, research has shown that social inequalities persist in part because many people underestimate their true magnitude, are not motivated to correct disparities that benefit their social groups, or hold negative stereotypes about marginalized groups (Arsenio, 2018; Lott, 2012; Roberts & Rizzo, 2020). To address the psychological roots of these inequalities, we need to know where these beliefs and attitudes come from and how we might encourage a more equitable and just understanding of the causes and consequences of social inequalities. In this article, we offer a developmental perspective that begins to address these two questions.

In the past decade, developmental scientists have been at the forefront of efforts to understand how children and adolescents develop an awareness of social inequalities, seek explanations for their causes, form judgments of their consequences, and enact behavioral responses on the basis of their personal experiences with social inequalities and the influences of micro (e.g., family, peer) and macro (e.g., school, media) social contexts (Arsenio, 2015; Ruck, Mistry, & Flanagan, 2019). Although children have few direct opportunities to
influence societal-level inequalities (e.g., through voting, protesting), they regularly experience social inequalities in their peer and family contexts and take on a range of different roles (e.g., perpetuator, rectifier, victim, witness) within these inequalities (Killen, Elenbaas, & Rizzo, 2018). As a result, research is beginning to uncover not only the developmental processes that exacerbate social inequalities but also potential pathways for promoting greater consideration of equity in childhood. In fact, developmental science is uniquely positioned to illuminate the factors that motivate children and adults to ignore, exacerbate, or challenge social inequalities in their everyday interactions.

**Social Reasoning Developmental (SRD) Model**

One branch of current research on how children and adolescents conceptualize social inequalities is informed by the SRD model (Killen et al., 2018; Rutland, Killen, & Abrams, 2010). The SRD model focuses on reasoning, judgments, and decisions about moral and social issues and how these processes change across development. It integrates concepts from social domain theory (e.g., how children reason about social-conventional, moral, and personal concerns) and social identity theory (e.g., how intragroup and intergroup dynamics shape decision-making) to provide a framework for understanding how children make sense of moral issues (e.g., denial of resources) that occur in intergroup contexts.

The SRD model takes a constructivist view in postulating that children’s social-cognitive development stems from their reflections and abstractions on the basis of their everyday interactions, which, in turn, enable them to infer, evaluate, and judge actions and events in their world (Killen & Rutland, 2011). In contrast to nativist or socialization perspectives, constructivist theories regarding the origins of social cognition emphasize the central role of the child in actively interpreting and making sense of their social world (Killen & Smetana, 2015; Turiel, 1983). Within this broader theoretical perspective, the SRD model proposes that reasoning about morality, group identity, and the psychological states of other people emerges early in childhood and coexists throughout development (see Fig. 1). Each of these domains of knowledge is brought to bear when children and adolescents consider complex issues, such as social inequalities. What changes across development is the complexity of children’s and adolescents’ moral reasoning, the depth of their understanding of social group dynamics, their awareness of others’ mental state capacities, and their ability to coordinate and balance these overlapping concerns.

To understand the origins, development, and sources of influence on thinking about social inequalities, researchers from the SRD perspective have examined how children’s and adolescents’ understanding of moral, group, and psychological concepts are applied to their emerging (a) awareness of social inequalities, (b) explanations for these inequalities, and (c) behavior aimed at increasing or reducing social inequalities. In this article, we synthesize research from the SRD framework as well as related research in developmental science to outline what is currently known about children’s and adolescents’ awareness, beliefs, and behavior concerning social inequalities and to highlight promising avenues to encourage positive change.

**Awareness of Social Inequalities**

Being aware of social inequalities means recognizing the existence of disparities in access to resources or opportunities between social groups. On the most basic
level, children are cognitively equipped to notice resource inequalities from early in development. Already in their first year of life, infants notice when someone has more toys than someone else (Sommerville, 2018). By the time they reach kindergarten, children attend to wealth inequalities, identifying their peers as “poor” or “rich,” alongside other forms of social categorization (e.g., gender, ethnicity; Hazelbaker, Griffin, Nenadal, & Mistry, 2018; Shutts, 2015). Over the course of adolescence, youths view U.S. society as increasingly economically stratified and also increasingly link economic status and race, associating White and Asian Americans with higher income and wealth than Black and Latinx Americans (Arsenio & Willems, 2017; Ghavami & Mistry, 2019). However, even adults underestimate the true extent to which wealth is unequally distributed in society as well as the true magnitude of current racial wealth gaps (Arsenio, 2018; Kraus et al., 2019).

Moreover, children’s own status or the status of their social group can lead them to deny or minimize the extent of social inequalities. For example, in one recent experiment, Rizzo and Killen (2020) randomly assigned 3- to 8-year-old children to either an advantaged group (had more resources than an out-group) or a disadvantaged group (had fewer resources than an out-group). Children assigned to the advantaged group were more likely to see the resource inequality as fair, support attempts to perpetuate the inequality, and keep more resources for their own group when given the chance.

Similarly, Elenbaas, Rizzo, Cooley, and Killen (2016) randomly assigned European American and African American children, ages 5 to 6 years and 10 to 11 years, to witness an experimental inequality of school supplies that placed either their racial in-group or out-group at a disadvantage. Young children whose in-group was disadvantaged judged the inequality to be unfair and took steps to correct it, but young children whose out-group was disadvantaged did not (see Fig. 2). Older children, by contrast, rectified the inequality under both conditions and reasoned about the importance of ensuring equal access to resources (e.g., “Both schools should have the same amount of supplies for learning”).

From an SRD perspective, these results reveal what happens when children prioritize group concerns over moral concerns and how the prioritization of these concerns develops during childhood. Whereas younger children in both studies struggled to balance concerns for in-group benefit with concerns for equity, older children’s reasoning and decision-making reflected a more generalized concern for ensuring fair access to resources that took precedence over social preferences. Because in-group concerns remain common throughout development, however, it is important to identify which social contexts enable children and adolescents to see the bigger picture and align their moral behavior with their moral judgments.

**Explanations for Social Inequalities**

Generating an explanation for a social inequality entails forming beliefs about how disparities in access to resources or opportunities between social groups came to be. Children and adolescents are able to consider multiple possible sources for social inequalities, and
not all sources are perceived to be unfair (Arsenio & Willems, 2017; Flanagan et al., 2014; Starman, Sheskin, & Bloom, 2017). For instance, many people—children and adults—explain social inequalities in terms of traditions and authority, including the need to maintain a predictable status quo and the idea that it is normal or typical for some groups to succeed and others not to. Other explanations are moral in nature. For instance, social inequalities cause direct and indirect harm to members of marginalized groups as a result of systemic discrimination and are thus in need of rectification. Finally, many explanations weigh moral, societal (economic systems), and psychological rationales, including beliefs that economic systems are designed to give everyone an equal opportunity for upward mobility and that a certain amount of inequality in society is motivating for people.

By kindergarten, children believe that greater effort entitles an individual person to a greater share of rewards (e.g., someone who tries harder at a game deserves to keep their winnings; Rizzo, Elenbaas, Cooley, & Killen, 2016). However, when scaled up to the social-group level, early emerging judgments about merit can lead to negative stereotypes that marginalized and excluded groups deserve their status. For instance, young children stereotype poor peers as less competent than rich peers (Shutts, Brey, Dornbusch, Slywotzky, & Olson, 2016). Similarly, children hold stereotypes that African Americans are less hard working than European Americans and that girls are less intelligent than boys (Bian, Leslie, & Cimpian, 2017; Pauker, Xu, Williams, & Biddle, 2016). In fact, although adolescents are more likely than children to generate structural explanations for social inequalities (e.g., systemic racism, classism, or sexism), these explanations typically exist alongside assumptions about differences in social groups’ motivation, effort, and ingenuity rather than replacing them (Flanagan et al., 2014; Godfrey, Santos, & Burson, 2019).

Explaining the underlying causes of social inequalities is challenging because observing an existing disparity (e.g., a racial disparity in access to education) does not provide enough information to infer its cause and because the messages that children receive (e.g., from adults and media sources) about the nature and origins of social inequalities are often incomplete or ambiguous. As a result, children’s awareness and understanding of the complex structural factors underlying social inequalities (e.g., political systems that exclude the poor, residential systems that exclude ethnic minorities, educational systems that exclude girls) is limited and interacts with other cognitive biases. For example, when children are asked to generate explanations for resource inequalities between novel groups (e.g., the Orps and the Blarks), children often assume that group differences resulted from internal factors (e.g., work ethic, natural ability) rather than external factors (e.g., discrimination; Hussak & Cimpian, 2015).

Behavior in Contexts Involving Social Inequalities

Children’s and adolescents’ reasoning about the causes of social inequalities informs their thinking about what (if anything) should be done to address them. For example, in one experiment, Rizzo, Elenbaas, and Vanderbilt (2020) tested 3- to 8-year-old children’s responses to individually based inequalities (i.e., one peer received more prizes than another because they worked harder) or structurally based inequalities (i.e., one peer received more prizes than another because the person giving out prizes had a gender bias). In response to the individually based inequality, children gave more resources to the hardworking peer and reasoned about merit (e.g., “She did a better job at the activities”). In response to the structurally based inequality, children gave more resources to the peer who had received less because of a gender bias and reasoned about equality (e.g., “They should get the same number”). These results confirm young children’s belief that individual effort should be rewarded but also highlight emerging concerns for equity in response to structurally based inequalities. When children had clear and unambiguous evidence that resources were allocated unjustly, they acted to correct the disparity.

Similarly, in one recent experiment, early adolescents were informed that access to an educational opportunity (a science summer camp) had historically been restricted to only wealthy children or only poor children (Elenbaas, 2019b). When they had the chance to determine who should attend the camp “this summer,” participants favored the group that had been excluded in the past, particularly when that group was poor. Moreover, the larger the economic gap in access to opportunities that participants perceived in broader society, the more they supported including poor peers in this particular opportunity (see Fig. 3) and reasoned about fair access to learning (e.g., “Everyone has the right to education no matter what background they come from”).

These studies, both drawing on the SRD model to understand children’s and adolescents’ reasoning and behavior in contexts involving moral issues (differential access to resources and opportunities) on intergroup levels (involving gender or social class), have intriguing implications for how to reduce harmful stereotypes about the causes of social inequalities. When children know—from their own direct observations or from others’ testimony—that an inequality is rooted in structural discrimination or bias, most children support efforts to reduce it. The challenge is that children rarely
receive this direct and unambiguous evidence. Although the idea that anyone can achieve success with enough effort and ambition is widely available to children in national, social, and educational discourse, children receive far less consistent information about the historical and societal contexts for why some social groups are advantaged over others. However, this may offer a point of entry for adults interested in increasing children’s recognition of the complex structural causes of social inequalities.

Supporting Complex Reasoning About Social Inequalities

Providing opportunities for analysis and reflection on the sources and consequences of social inequalities may help children and adolescents develop a critical understanding of the social, economic, and political systems that they are a part of (Seider et al., 2020). For example, research on family racial-ethnic socialization indicates that conversations about discrimination can contribute to adolescents’ structural explanations for social inequalities (e.g., systemic racism; Bañales et al., 2019). Similarly, research on civic engagement has shown that adolescents who frequently discuss current events with their parents have a better understanding of structural contributors to poverty (Flanagan et al., 2014). Likewise, research on critical consciousness indicates that discussions with parents, teachers, mentors, and peers can foster adolescents’ awareness of sociopolitical conditions and motivation to address social inequalities (Diemer, Rapa, Voight, & McWhirter, 2016). Although little research has examined the messages about social inequality that preadolescent children may receive, they too are becoming aware of social inequalities and likely consider their parents’ and teachers’ opinions when forming beliefs about their causes.

Relationships with peers whose experiences differ from their own may also help children reject stereotypes and develop a deeper understanding of social inequalities. For instance, research on intergroup contact indicates that having a friend from a different racial background is associated with lower racial stereotypes (Aboud & Brown, 2013). Similarly, cross-SES friendships may encourage children’s fairness reasoning. In one recent study, children from upper-middle-income families who reported more contact with peers from lower-income backgrounds were more likely to reason about differences in access to resources when sharing toys and shared more equitably (Elenbaas, 2019a). Although it is not yet known whether interactions with higher-SES peers have a similar impact on lower-SES children’s reasoning, these results point to how everyday interactions with friends may raise children’s consideration of the immediate consequences of resource disparities.

Future Directions for Research

Understanding children’s and adolescents’ thinking about social inequality is a new area of research in developmental science (Ruck et al., 2019). We now know that children and adolescents face challenges in
becoming aware of the existence and extent of social inequalities, understanding their structural causes, and deciding how to address social inequalities. Moreover, both the potential for in-group benefit and negative stereotypes about disadvantaged groups lead to more exclusive and inequitable behavior.

We also know, however, that children’s concerns for justice and fairness emerge early and enable them to identify and work to correct instances of inequality within their sphere of influence. We suggest not only a continued research focus on the questions of origins and development that have framed a great deal of work in this area thus far but also increased attention to the sources of influence on children’s thinking. Drawing on the constructivist perspective of the SRD model, we suggest that future studies focus on the joint and separate roles of interacting with diverse peers, interpreting conversations with parents and teachers, and reflecting on societal structures on children’s and adolescents’ reasoning, judgments, and behaviors in contexts of social inequality. Continued investigation of how children recognize, explain, and respond to social inequalities may provide a basis for ameliorating their detrimental outcomes and fostering a more just society.
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